Introduction to Generative Adversarial Network (GAN)

Hongsheng Li Department of Electronic Engineering Chinese University of Hong Kong

- Density Estimation
 - Discriminative model: p(y | x)
 - y=0 for elephant, y=1 for horse
 - Generative model: p(x | y)

$$p(x | y = 0)$$
 $p(x | y = 1)$



$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)}$$

$$\arg \max_{y} p(y|x) = \arg \max_{y} \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)}$$
$$= \arg \max_{y} p(x|y)p(y).$$

Sample Generation



• Sample Generation

Training samples

Training

samples





Model samples





Generative model

 $p_{data} \longrightarrow Data \longrightarrow p_{model} \longrightarrow Sample generation$

- GAN is a generative model
 - Mainly focuses on sample generation
 - Possible to do both

 Excellent test of our ability to use highdimensional, complicated probability distributions

 $p_{\text{mod}el} \dashrightarrow$ Sample generation

Missing data

- Semi-supervised learning

- Multi-modal outputs
 - Example: next frame prediction



Lotter et al. 2015

- Image generation tasks
 - Example: single-image super-resolution

original



bicubic (21.59dB/0.6423)

SRResNet (23.44dB/0.7777)



SRGAN (20.34dB/0.6562)



Ledig et al 2015

- Image generation tasks
 - Example: Image-to-Image Translation
 - https://affinelayer.com/pixsrv/



Isola et al 2016

- Image generation tasks
 - Example: Text-to-Image Generation

This small blue bird has a short pointy beak and brown on its wings

This bird is completely red with black wings and pointy beak



Zhang et al 2016

How does GAN Work?

- Adversarial adj. 對抗的
- Two networks:
 - Generator G: creates (fake) samples that the discriminator cannot distinguish
 - Discriminator D: determine whether samples are fake or real



The Generator

• G: a differentiable function

modeled as a neural network

- Input:
 - z: random noise vector from some simple prior distribution
- Output:
 - **x** = G(**z**): generated samples





 The dimension of *z* should be at least as large as that of *x*

The Discriminator

- *D*: modeled as a neural network
- Input:
 - Real sample
 - Generated sample **x**
- Output:
 - 1 for real samples
 - 0 for fake samples



Generative Adversarial Networks



Cost Functions

- The discriminator outputs a value *D(x)* indicating the chance that *x* is a real image
- For real images, their ground-truth labels are 1. For generated images their labels are 0.
- Our objective is to maximize the chance to recognize real images as real and generated images as fake
- The objective for generator can be defined as

$$\max_{D} V(D) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})}[\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})}[\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))]$$

recognize real images better

recognize generated images better

Cost Functions

- For the generator *G*, its objective function wants the model to generate images with the highest possible value of *D*(*x*) to fool the discriminator
- The cost function is

$$\min_{G} V(G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})}[\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))]$$

Optimize G that can fool the discriminator the most.

• The overall GAN training is therefore a min-max game

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x})}[\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{\boldsymbol{z}}(\boldsymbol{z})}[\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))]$

Training Procedure

- The generator and the discriminator are learned jointly by the alternating gradient descent
 - Fix the generator's parameters and perform a single iteration of gradient descent on the discriminator using the real and the generated images
 - Fix the discriminator and train the generator for another single iteration



The Algorithm

for number of training iterations do

for k steps do

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Sample minibatch of m examples $\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\}$ from data generating distribution $p_{\text{data}}(x)$.
- Update the discriminator by ascending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\log D\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right) + \log \left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right) \right].$$

end for

- Sample minibatch of m noise samples $\{z^{(1)}, \ldots, z^{(m)}\}$ from noise prior $p_g(z)$.
- Update the generator by descending its stochastic gradient:

$$\nabla_{\theta_g} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log\left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right).$$

end for

The gradient-based updates can use any standard gradient-based learning rule. We used momentum in our experiments.

Illustration of the Learning

 Generative adversarial learning aims to learn a model distribution that matches the actual data distribution



Generator diminished gradient

- However, we encounter a gradient diminishing problem for the generator. The discriminator usually wins early against the generator
- It is always easier to distinguish the generated images from real images in early training. That makes *cost function* approaches 0. i.e. -*log(1 -D(G(z)))* → 0
- The gradient for the generator will also vanish which makes the gradient descent optimization very slow
- To improve that, the GAN provides an alternative function to backpropagate the gradient to the generator

$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{minimize} & \textit{maximize} \\ - \nabla_{\theta_g} \log \left(1 - D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right) \rightarrow \theta & \textit{change to} & \nabla_{\theta_g} \log \left(D\left(G\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{(i)} \right) \right) \right) \end{array}$

Comparison between Two Losses



Non-Saturating Game

•
$$J^{(D)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log D(\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log (1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))$$

- $J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z}} \log D\left(G(\boldsymbol{z})\right)$
- In the min-max game, the generator maximizes the same cross-entropy
- Now, generator maximizes the log-probability of the discriminator being mistaken
- Heuristically motivated; generator can still learn even when discriminator successfully rejects all generator samples

Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks (DCGAN)

- All convolutional nets
- No global average pooling
- Batch normalization
- ReLU



Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks (DCGAN)

• LSUN bedroom (about 3m training images)



Radford et al. 2016

Manipulating Learned z



Manipulating Learned z



woman

without glasses

woman with glasses

man without glasses

man with glasses

4× SRGAN (proposed)



original







Discriminator Network

n64s1	n64s2	n128s1	n128s2	n256s1	n256s2	n512s1	n512s2		
Input	Leaky ReLU Conv Leaky ReLU							Dense (1024) Leaky ReLU Dense (1) Sigmoid	IHR ? ISR



For a pre-defined region, synthesize the image contents



Pathak et al 2016

For a pre-defined region, synthesize the image contents



(a) Input context

(b) Human artist



Pathak et al 2016

(c) Context Encoder (L2 loss) (d) Context Encoder (L2 + Adversarial loss)

Overall framework



Original region







(a) Central region

(b) Random block

(c) Random region

• The objective



(a) Central region









(b) Random block

(c) Random region

$$\mathcal{L}_{rec}(x) = \|\hat{M} \odot (x - F((1 - \hat{M}) \odot x))\|_2^2,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{adv} = \max_{D} \quad \mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} [\log(D(x)) + \log(1 - D(F(((1 - \hat{M}) \odot x)))],$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{rec} \mathcal{L}_{rec} + \lambda_{adv} \mathcal{L}_{adv}.$$
³⁵


Image Inpainting with Partial Convolution

- Partial convolution for handling missing data
- L1 loss: minimizing the pixel differences between the generated image and their ground-truth images
- Perceptual loss: minimizing the VGG features of the generated images and their ground-truth images
- Style loss (Gram matrix): minimizing the gram matrices of the generated images and their ground-truth images



Image Inpainting with Partial Convolution: Results



Liu 2016

• Synthesize textures for input images





 $\mathbf{x} = \arg \min E_t(\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \alpha_1 E_c(\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_c)) + \alpha_2 \Upsilon(\mathbf{x})$

MSE Loss

Adv loss

 $\mathbf{x} = \arg\min E_t(\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_t)) + \alpha_1 E_c(\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_c)) + \alpha_2 \Upsilon(\mathbf{x})$





Inputs

Our Results

Texture Networks

Inputs

Our Results

Texture Networks

- GAN is too free. How to add some constraints?
- Add conditional variables y into the generator



- GAN is too free. How to add some constraints?
- Add conditional variables y into G and D

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})} [\log D(\boldsymbol{x})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{z}(\boldsymbol{z})} [\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z})))].$$

$$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim p_{data}(\boldsymbol{x})} [\log D(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{y})] + \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{z} \sim p_{z}(\boldsymbol{z})} [\log(1 - D(G(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{y})))].$$



Mirza and Osindero 2016

0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0



Mirza and Osindero 2016

- Positive samples for D
 - True data + corresponding conditioning variable
- Negative samples for *D*
 - Synthetic data + corresponding conditioning variable
 - True data + non-corresponding conditioning variable

Text-to-Image Synthesis



this small bird has a pink breast and crown, and black primaries and secondaries.

this magnificent fellow is almost all black with a red crest, and white cheek patch.





Reed et al 2015

- How humans draw a figure?
 - A coarse-to-fine manner



Use stacked GAN structure for text-to-image synthesis

Text This bird is blue with white and has a very short beak

This bird has wings that are brown and has a yellow belly A white bird with a black crown and yellow beak This bird is white, black, and brown in color, with a brown beak The bird has small beak, with reddish brown crown and gray belly This is a small, black bird with a white breast and white on the wingbars.

This bird is white black and yellow in color, with a short black beak

Stage-I images Stage-II images

 Use stacked GAN structure for text-to-image synthesis



- Conditioning augmentation
- No random noise vector *z* for Stage-2
- Conditioning both stages on text help achieve better results
- Spatial replication for the text conditional variable
- Negative samples for *D*
 - True images + non-corresponding texts
 - Synthetic images + corresponding texts

Conditioning Augmentation

- How train parameters like the mean and variance of a Gaussian distribution
- $N(\mu_0, \sigma_0)$
- Sample from standard Normal distribution N(0,1)
- Multiple with σ_0 and then add with μ_0
- The re-parameterization trick



More StackGAN Results on Flower

Text description This flower is pink, white, and yellow in color, and has petals that are striped This flower has a lot of small purple petals in a dome-like configuration

- This flower is white and yellow in color, with petals that are wavy and smooth
- This flower has petals that are dark pink with white edges and pink stamen

64x64 GAN-INT-CLS

256x256 StackGAN



More StackGAN Results on COCO

A picture of a very clean living room Eggs fruit candy nuts and meat served on white dish A street sign on a stoplight pole in the middle of a day

A group of people on skis stand in the snow



StackGAN-v2: Architecture

- Approximate multi-scale image distributions jointly
- Approximate conditional and unconditional image distributions jointly



StackGAN-v2: Results



 256×256 samples by our StackGAN-v2 on LSUN bedroom dataset



256×256 samples by our StackGAN-v2 on LSUN church dataset



256×256 samples by StackGAN-v2 on ImageNet dog dataset



 256×256 samples by StackGAN-v2 on ImageNet cat dataset

Progressive Growing of GAN

• Share the similar spirit with StackGAN-v1/-v2 but use a different training strategy





Progressive Growing of GAN

• Impressively realistic face images



Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional GAN



Image-to-Image Translation with Conditional GAN

Incorporate L1 loss into the objective function

$$\mathcal{L}_{L1}(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x, y \sim p_{data}(x, y), z \sim p_z(z)} [\|y - G(x, z)\|_1].$$
$$G^* = \arg\min_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}_{cGAN}(G, D) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{L1}(G).$$

Adopt the U-net structure for the generator





Patch-based Discriminator

- Separate each image into *N* x *N* patches
- Instead of distinguish whether the whole image is real or fake, train a patch-based discriminator



More Results



More Results



INPUT

OUTPUT



CycleGAN

 All previous methods require to have paired training data, i.e., exact input-output pairs, which can be extremely difficult to obtain in practice



CycleGAN

• The framework learns two mapping functions (generators) $G: X \rightarrow Y$ and $F: Y \rightarrow X$ with two domain discriminators D_X and D_Y



CycleGAN: Results









apple \rightarrow orange



Input











Ukiyo-e













Cezanne







CycleGAN: Results



S²-GAN: Decomposing difficult problems into subproblems

- Generating indoor images
- Generating surface normal map + surface style map



Style-GAN



S²-GAN Results





With Constraint



With Constraint Constraint







With























W/O



Insights

- Some insights
 - Decomposing the problems into easier problems
 - Spatially well-aligned conditioning variables are generally better
Non-convergence in GANs

- Finding equilibrium is a game of two players
- Exploiting convexity in function space, GAN training is theoretically guaranteed to converge if we can modify the density functions directly, but:
 - Instead, we modify G (sample generation function) and D (density ratio), not densities
 - We represent *G* and *D* as highly non-convex parametric functions
- "Oscillation": can train for a very long time, generating very many different categories of samples, without clearly generating better samples
- Mode collapse: most severe form of non-convergence

Mode Collapse

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(G, D) \neq \max_{D} \min_{G} V(G, D)$

- *D* in inner loop: convergence to correct distribution
- *G* in inner loop: place all mass on most likely point



Metz et al 2016

Mode Collapse Causes Low Output Diversity

this small bird has a pink breast and crown, and black almost all black with a red primaries and secondaries.



the flower has petals that are bright pinkish purple with white stigma

this magnificent fellow is crest, and white cheek patch



this white and vellow flower have thin white petals and a round yellow stamen



(Reed et al 2016)

Key-GAN (Reed 2016b) This work A man in a orange jacket with sunglasses and a hat ski down a hill. points This guy is in black trunks and swimming underwater. A tennis player in a blue polo shirt is looking down at the green court.

(Reed et al, submitted to ICLR 2017)

Conditioning Augmentation

This small blue bird has a short pointy beak and brown on its wings

This bird is completely red with black wings and pointy beak

A small sized bird that has a cream belly and a short pointed bill

A small bird with a black head and wings and features grey wings



Minibatch Features

- Add minibatch features that classify each example by comparing it to other members of the minibatch (Salimans et al 2016)
- Nearest-neighbor style features detect if a minibatch contains samples that are too similar to each other

Minibatch GAN on CIFAR



Training Data

Samples

Minibatch GAN on ImageNet



Cherry-picked Results















Problems with Counting













(Goodfellow 2016)

Problems with Perspective

















Unrolled GANs

• A toy example



• Normalize the inputs

- normalize the images between -1 and 1
- Tanh as the last layer of the generator output

Modified loss function

- Because of the vanishing gradients (Goodfellow et al 2014). Use $J^{(G)} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_{z}\log D(G(z))$
- Flip labels when training generator: real = fake,
 fake = real

- Use a spherical Z
 - Don't sample from a Uniform distribution
 - Sample from a Gaussian distribution
 - When doing interpolations, do the interpolation
 via a great circle, rather than a straight line (White et al 2016)



Batch normalization

- Compute mean and standard deviation of features
- Normalize features (subtract mean, divide by standard deviation)





• Batch normalization in G



Soumith et al 2016

- Reference Batch Normalization
 - Fix a reference batch $R = \{r^{(1)}, r^{(2)}, ..., r^{(m)}\}$
 - Given new inputs $X = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(m)}\}$
 - Normalize the features of X using the mean and standard deviation from R
 - Every $x^{(i)}$ is always treated the same, regardless of which other examples appear in the minibatch



- Virtual Batch Normalization
 - Reference batch norm can overfit to the reference batch. A partial solution is virtual batch norm
 - Fix a reference batch $R = \{r^{(1)}, r^{(2)}, ..., r^{(m)}\}$
 - Given new inputs $X = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(m)}\}$
 - For each $x^{(i)}$
 - Construct a minibatch containing $x^{(i)}$ and all R
 - Compute mean and standard deviation of V
 - Normalize the features of $x^{(i)}$ using the mean and standard deviation

Salimens et al 2016

• Use Adam optimizer

Use SGD for discriminator & Adam for generator

- Avoid Sparse Gradients: ReLU, MaxPool
 - the stability of the GAN game suffers if you have sparse gradients
 - LeakyReLU = good (in both G and D)
 - For Downsampling, use: Average Pooling, Conv2d + stride
 - For Upsampling, use: Bilinear Interpolation, PixelShuffle

- Use Soft and Noisy Labels
 - Default cost

cross_entropy(1., discriminator(data))
+ cross_entropy(0., discriminator(samples))

- Label Smoothing (Salimans et al. 2016)
- For real ones (label=1), replace it with 0.9; For fake ones (label=0), keep it to 0.

cross_entropy(.9, discriminator(data))
+ cross_entropy(0., discriminator(samples))

Use Soft and Noisy Labels

cross_entropy(1.-alpha, discriminator(data))
+ cross_entropy(beta, discriminator(samples))

$$D(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \beta p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{p_{\text{data}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

 make the labels noisy for the discriminator: occasionally flip the labels when training the discriminator

- Track failures early
 - D loss goes to 0: failure mode
 - Check norms of gradients: > 100 is bad
 - When training well, D loss has low variance and is going down. Otherwise, D loss is spiky
 - If loss of G steadily decreases, then it's fooling D with garbage

- Discrete variables in Conditional GANs
 - Use an Embedding layer
 - Add as additional channels to images
 - Keep embedding dimensionality low and upsample to match image channel size

- Use label information when possible
 - Used as conditioning variable
 - Auxiliary classifier GAN



• Balancing G and D

- Usually the discriminator "wins"
- Good thing: theoretical justification are based on assuming *D* is perfect
- Usually D is bigger and deeper than G
- Sometimes run *D* more often than *G*. Mixed results
- Do not try to limit D to avoid making it "too smart"
 - Use non-saturating cost
 - Use label smoothing

Research Directions

- Research direction of GANs
 - Better network structures
 - Better objective functions
 - Novel problem setups
 - Use of adversarial losses in other CV/ML applications
 - Theories on GAN