Network Structures

Xiaogang Wang

xgwang@ee.cuhk.edu.hk

February 18, 2019

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

February 18, 2019 1/60

э

Max pooling and strided convolution

 Both max pooling and strided convolution are constantly used to decrease spatial dimension of feature maps

12	20	30	0			
8	12	2	0	2×2 Max-Pool	20	30
34	70	37	4	,	112	37
112	100	25	12			

Max pooling with 2 \times 2 kernel and stride 2

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Max pooling and strided convolution

 Both max pooling and strided convolution are constantly used to decrease spatial dimension of feature maps

Strided convolution with 3 \times 3 kernel and stride 2

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Feature map size and receptive field size

Output feature maps can be calculated with the following formula

$$n_{out} = \left\lfloor \frac{n_{in} + 2p - k}{s} \right\rfloor + 1$$

where n_{in} and n_{out} are the number of channels of the input and output feature maps, p is the padding size, s is the stride size, k is the convolution kernel size.

• The **receptive field** of a feature can be briefly defined as the region in the input image pixel space that the feature is calculated from



Two consecutive convolution with kernel size $k = 3 \times 3$, padding size $p = 1 \times 1$, stride $s = 2 \times 2$.

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Feature map size and receptive field size

Output feature maps can be calculated with the following formula

$$j_{out} = j_{in} \times s$$

 $r_{out} = r_{in} + (k - 1) \times j_{in}$

where *j* is the jump in the output feature map, *r* is the receptive field size

- For very first input to a network, we always have $r_0 = 1$ and $j_0 = 1$
- Given the previous example, we have

$$r_1 = r_0 + (k - 1) \times j_0 = 1 + (3 - 1) \times 1 = 3, j_1 = j_0 \times 2 = 2$$

$$r_2 = r_1 + (k - 1) \times j_1 = 3 + 2 \times 2 = 7, j_2 = j_1 \times 2 = 4$$



Two consecutive convolution with kernel size $k = 3 \times 3$, padding size $p = 1 \times 1$, stride $s = 2 \times 2$.

BAR 4 BA

Different CNN structures for image classification

- AlexNet
- Clarifai
- Overfeat
- VGG
- Network-in-network
- GoogLeNet
- ResNet

э

Model architecture-AlexNet Krizhevsky 2012

- 5 convolutional layers and 2 fully connected layers for learning features.
- Max-pooling layers follow first, second, and fifth convolutional layers
- The number of neurons in each layer is given by 253440, 186624, 64896, 64896, 43264, 4096, 4096, 1000
- 650000 neurons, 60000000 parameters, and 630000000 connections



⁽Krizhevsky NIPS 2014)

How transferable are features in CNN networks?

- (Yosinski et al. NIPS'14) investigate transferability of features by CNNs
- The transferability of features by CNN is affected by
 - Higher layer neurons are more specific to original tasks
 - Layers within a CNN network might be fragilely co-adapted
- Initializing with transferred features can improve generalization after substantial fine-tuning on a new task

Base tasks

- ImageNet are divied into two groups of 500 classes, A and B
- Two 8-layer AlexNets, baseA and baseB, are trained on the two groups, respectively



ъ

< 6 b

Transfer and selffer networks

- A selffer network BnB: the first n layers are copied from baseB and frozen. The other higher layers are initialized randomly and trained on dataset B. This is the control for transfer network
- A *transfer* network AnB: the first n layers are copied from baseA and frozen. The other higher layers are initialized randomly and trained toward dataset B



THE 1 AT 1

Transfer and selffer networks (cont'd)

- A selffer network BnB+: just like BnB, but where all layers learn
- A transfer network AnB+: just like AnB, but where all layers learn



- 4

Results



February 18, 2019 12/60

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Dissimilar datasets

- Divide ImageNet into man-made objects A (449 classes) and natural objects B (551 classes)
- The transferability of features decreases as the distance between the base task and target task increases



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Investigate components of CNNs

- Filter size
- Filter (channel) number
- Stride
- Dimensionality of fully connected layers
- Data augmentation
- Model averaging

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Investigate components of CNNs (cont'd)

- (Chatfield et al. BMVC'14) pre-train on ImageNet and fine-tune on PASCAL VOC 2007
- Different architectures
 - ► mAP: CNN-S > (marginally) CNN-M > (~%2.5) CNN-F
- Different data augmentation
 - No augmentation
 - Flipping (almost no improvement)
 - Smaller dimension downsized to 256, cropping 224 × 224 patches from the center and 4 corners, flipping (~ 3% improvement)

Arch.	conv1	conv2	conv3	conv4	conv5	full6	full7	full8	
	64x11x11	256x5x5	256x3x3	256x3x3	256x3x3	4096	4096	1000	Fast
CNN-F	st. 4, pad 0	st. 1, pad 2	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	drop-	drop-	soft-	similar to AlexNet
	LRN, x2 pool	LRN, x2 pool	-	-	x2 pool	out	out	max	
	96x7x7	256x5x5	512x3x3	512x3x3	512x3x3	4096	4096	1000	Medium
CNN-M	st. 2, pad 0	st. 2, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	drop-	drop-	soft-	similar to Clarifai model
	LRN, x2 pool	LRN, x2 pool	-	-	x2 pool	out	out	max	
	96x7x7	256x5x5	512x3x3	512x3x3	512x3x3	4096	4096	1000	Slow
CNN-S	st. 2, pad 0	st. 1 pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	st. 1, pad 1	drop-	drop-	soft-	similar to OverFeat
	LRN, x3 pool	x2 pool	-	-	x3 pool	out	out	max	Accurate model

(Chatfield et al. BMVC 2014)

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

February 18, 2019 15/60

3

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Investigate components of CNNs (cont'd)

- Gray-scale vs. color (~ 3% drop)
- Decrease the number of nodes in FC7
 - to 2048 (surprisingly, marginally better)
 - to 1024 (marginally better)
 - ▶ to 128 (~ 2% drop but 32x smaller feature)
- Change the softmax regression loss to ranking hinge loss
 - $w_c \phi(I_{pos}) > w_c \phi(I_{neg}) + 1 \xi$ (ξ is a slack variable)
 - ~ 2.7% improvement
 - $\blacktriangleright\,$ Note, \mathcal{L}_2 normalising features account for $\sim 5\%$ of accuracy for VOC 2007
- On ILSVRC-2012, the CNN-S achieved a top-5 error rate of 13.1%
 - CNN-F: 16.7%
 - CNN-M: 13.7%
 - AlexNet: 17%

3

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Model architecture-Clarifai

- Winner of ILSVRC 2013
- Max-pooling layers follow first, second, and fifth convolutional layers
- 11×11 to 7×7, stride 4 to 2 in 1st layer (increasing resolution of feature maps)
- Other settings are the same as AlexNet
- reduce the error by 2%.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Model architecture-Clarifai further investigation

- More maps in the convolutional layers leads to small improvement.
- Model averaging leads to improvement (random initialization).



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Model architecture-Overfeat

Less pooling and more filters (384 => 512 for conv3 and 384=>1024 for conv4/5).



Model architecture-Overfeat

• With data augmentation, more complex model has better performance.



Without data augmentation 16.5

16.97

14.18

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

February 18, 2019 20/60

э

Model architecture-the devil of details

- CNN-F: similar to AlexNet, but less channels in conv3-5.
- CNN-S: the most complex one.
- CNN-M 2048: replace the 4096 features in fc7 by 2048 features. Makes little difference.
- Data augmentation. The input image is downsized so that the smallest dimension is equal to 256 pixels. Then 224 × 224 crops are extracted from the four corners and the centre of the image.

		Arch.	conv1	conv2	conv3	conv4	conv5	full6	full7	full8
ILSVRC-2012	(top-5 error)	CNN-F	64x11x11 st. 4, pad 0 LRN, x2 pool	256x5x5 st. 1, pad 2 LRN, x2 pool	256x3x3 st. 1, pad 1 -	256x3x3 st. 1, pad 1 -	256x3x3 st. 1, pad 1 x2 pool	4096 drop- out	4096 drop- out	1000 soft- max
(a) Clarifal 1 Convinet	16.0		96x7x7	256x5x5	512x3x3	512x3x3	512x3x3	4096	4096	1000
(b) CNN F (c) CNN M	16.7 13.7	CNN-M	st. 2, pad 0 LRN, x2 pool	st. 2, pad 1 LRN, x2 pool	st. 1, pad 1 -	st. 1, pad 1 -	st. 1, pad 1 x2 pool	drop- out	drop- out	soft- max
(d) CNN M 2048 (e) CNN S	13.5 13.1	CNN-S	96x7x7 st. 2, pad 0 LRN, x3 pool	256x5x5 st. 1, pad 1 x2 pool	512x3x3 st. 1, pad 1	512x3x3 st. 1, pad 1	512x3x3 st. 1, pad 1 x3 pool	4096 drop- out	4096 drop- out	1000 soft- max
		Clarifai	96x7x7 st. 2, LRN.x2 pool	256x5x5 st. 2, pad1 LRN.x2 pool	384x3x3 st. 1,pad1	384x3x3 st. 1,pad1	256x3x3 st. 1,pad1	4096 drop	4096 drop	4096 drop

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Model architecture-very deep CNN

- The deep model VGG in 2014.
- Apply 3 × 3 filter for all layers.
- 11 layers (A) to 19 layers (E).

	ConvNet Configuration											
A A-LRN B C D E												
11 weight	11 weight	13 weight	16 weight	16 weight	19 weight							
layers	layers layers layers layers											
input (224×224 RGB image)												
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64							
LRN conv3-64 conv3-64 conv3-64 conv3-64												
maxpool												
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128							
conv3-128 conv3-128 conv3-128 conv3-128												
		max	pool									
conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256 conv3-256												
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256							
conv1-256 conv3-256 conv3-25												
	conv3-256											
	maxpool											
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
					conv3-512							
		max	pool									
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512							
	conv3-512											
		max	pool									
		FC-	4096									
		FC-	4096									
		FC-	1000									
		soft	-max									

э

Model architecture- very deep CNN

- The deep model VGG in 2014.
- Better to have deeper layers. 11 layers (A) => 16 layers (D).
- From 16 layers (D) to 19 layers (E), accuracy does not improve.

				Con	wNet Co	nfi	guration			I
	A	A-L	RN	1	B	B C		D	E	
	11 weight 11 v		eight 13 v		veight 1		6 weight	16 weight	19 weight	[
	layers	lay	ers lay		ers la		layers layers		layers	
Convl	Vet config. (Ta	ble 1)	small	lest in	nage side	•	top-1 val. error (%)		top-5 val. error	r (%)
0. (,			train	(S)	test (Q	?)			-	
Α			25	6	256		2	9.6	10.4	
A-LRN			25	6	256		29.7		10.5	
В			256		256		28.7		9.9	
			256		256		2	8.1	9.4	
С			384	4	384	28.1		8.1	9.3	
			[256;512]		384		27.3		8.8	
		25	6	256		27.0		8.8		
D		384	4	384		20	6.8	8.7		
		[256;:	512]	384		2:	5.6	8.1		
		256		256		27.3		9.0		
E		384		384		26.9		8.7		
			[256;5	512]	384		2:	5.5	8.0	

Model architecture- very deep CNN

- Scale jittering at the training time.
- The crop size is fixed to 224×224 .
- *S*: the smallest side of an isotropically-rescaled training image.
- Scale jittering at the training time: [256; 512]: randomly select S to be within [256 512].
- LRN: local response normalisation. A-LRN does not improve on A.

				Con	wNet Co	onfi	guration			
	A A-		RN I		B		С	D	E	
	11 weight 11 w		eight 13 we		reight	16 weight		16 weight	19 weight	
	layers	lay	ers	rs layers			layers	layers	layers	
Conv	Vet config. (Ta	ble 1)	sma	llest in	1age sid	e	top-1 val. error (%)		top-5 val. error	:(%)
			train	(S)	test (G	2)	-			
A			25	56	256		2	9.6	10.4	
A-LR	N		256		256		29.7		10.5	
В	В		256		256		2	8.7	9.9	
			25	56	256		2	8.1	9.4	
С			- 38	34	384		28.1		9.3	
			[256;512]		384		27.3		8.8	
			256		256		2	7.0	8.8	
D		- 38	34	384		2	6.8	8.7		
		[256;	512]	384		2	5.6	8.1		
		25	56	256		2	7.3	9.0		
E	E		384		384		26.9		8.7	
			[256;	512]	384		2	5.5	8.0	

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Model architecture- very deep CNN

- Multi-scale averaging at the testing time.
- The crop size is fixed to 224×224 .
- Q: the smallest side of an isotropically-rescaled testing image.
- Running a model over several rescaled versions of a test image (corresponding to different *Q*), followed by averaging the resulting class posteriors. Improves accuracy (25.5 => 24.8).

				(ConvNet Co	onfig	uration			I
	A				B		С	D	E	
	11 weight	11	weight	1	3 weight	16	weight	16 weight	19 weight	
	layers		layers		layers		layers	layers	layers	
ConvNet	config. (Table	1)	small	est	image side		top-1 va	l. error (%)	top-5 val. error	· (%)
			train (S)	test (Q))	-			
В			256		224,256,2	88	2	8.2	9.6	
			256	224,256,2		88	2	.7.7	9.2	
С			384		352,384,4	16	2	7.8	9.2	
			[256; 51]	2]	256,384,5	12 2		.6.3	8.2	
			256		224,256,2	88	2	6.6	8.6	
D			384		352,384,4	16	2	6.5	8.6	
			[256; 51]	2]	256,384,5	12	2	4.8	7.5	
		256		224,256,2	88	2	.6.9	8.7		
E			384		352,384,4	16	2	.6.7	8.6	
			[256; 51]	2]	256,384,5	12	2	4.8	7.5	

EN 4 EN

Model architecture- Network in Network

• Use 1×1 filters after each convolutional layer.



Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

February 18, 2019 26/60

э

Model architecture- Network in Network

 Remove the two fully connected layers (fc6, fc7) of the AlexNet but add NIN into the AlexNet.



	Parameter Number	Performance	Time to train (GTX Titan)		
AlexNet	60 Million (230 Megabytes)	40.7% (Top 1)	8 days		
NIN	7.5 Million (29 Megabytes)	39.2% (Top 1)	4 days		

Inspired by the good performance of NIN.



12 N 4 12

< 6 b

- Inception model.
- Variable filter sizes to capture different visual patterns of different sizes. Enforce sparse connection between previous layer and output.
- The 1 × 1 convolutions are used for reducing the number of maps from the previous layer.



3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

- Based on inception model.
- Cascade of inception models.
- Widths of inception modules ranges from 256 filters (in early modules) to 1024 in top inception modules.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Parameters.

type	patch size/ stride	output size	depth	#1×1	#3×3 reduce	#3×3	#5×5 reduce	#5×5	pool proj	params	ops
convolution	7×7/2	$112{\times}112{\times}64$	1							2.7K	34M
max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$56 \times 56 \times 64$	0								
convolution	3×3/1	$56 \times 56 \times 192$	2		64	192				112K	360M
max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$28{\times}28{\times}192$	0								
inception (3a)		$28 \times 28 \times 256$	2	64	96	128	16	32	32	159K	128M
inception (3b)		$28 \times 28 \times 480$	2	128	128	192	32	96	64	380K	304M
max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$14 \times 14 \times 480$	0								
inception (4a)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	192	96	208	16	48	64	364K	73M
inception (4b)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	160	112	224	24	64	64	437K	88M
inception (4c)		$14 \times 14 \times 512$	2	128	128	256	24	64	64	463K	100M
inception (4d)		$14 \times 14 \times 528$	2	112	144	288	32	64	64	580K	119M
inception (4e)		$14 \times 14 \times 832$	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	840K	170M
max pool	$3 \times 3/2$	$7 \times 7 \times 832$	0								
inception (5a)		$7 \times 7 \times 832$	2	256	160	320	32	128	128	1072K	54M
inception (5b)		$7 \times 7 \times 1024$	2	384	192	384	48	128	128	1388K	71M
avg pool	7×7/1	$1 \times 1 \times 1024$	0								
dropout (40%)		$1 \times 1 \times 1024$	0								
linear		$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	1							1000K	1M
softmax		$1 \times 1 \times 1000$	0								

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

GoogleNet-v2/BN-Inception

The advantages of Batch Normalization (BN) layer

- Higher learning rate can be used.
- The need for Dropout can be reduced.
- Main differences from GoogleNet-v1
 - 5 × 5 convolution layers are converted to two consecutive 3 × 3 convolution layers with up to 128 filters
 - Adopt the BN layer after each convolution layer.
 - During training, moving average is used to calculate the mean and variance of the BN layers
 - During testing, the mean and variance are calculated using the entire training set in a layer-by-layer manner

GoogleNet-v2/BN-Inception

- Inception vs. BN-Baseline: using BN can improve the training speed significantly
- BN-x5 & BN-x30: the initial learning rate can be increased largely to improve the training speed even better
- BN-x5-Sigmoid: saturation problem by Sigmoid can be a kind of removed



Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

- Factorization was introduced in convolution layer as shown above to further reduce the dimensionality, so as to reduce the overfitting problem
- By using 3 × 3 filter, number of parameters = 3 × 3 = 9
- By using 3 × 1 and 1 × 3 filters, number of parameters = 3 × 1 + 1 × 3 = 6 Number of parameters is reduced by 33%



3 \times 3 conv becomes 1 \times 3 and 3 \times 1 convs (Left), 7 \times 7 conv becomes 1 \times 7 and 7 \times 1 convs (Right)

• Three types of inception modules (A, B, C)



э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Conventionally, such as AlexNet and VGGNet, the feature map downsizing is done by max pooling
- The drawback is either too greedy by max pooling followed by conv layer, or too expensive by conv layer followed by max pooling
- Half of feature maps are done by conv with stride 2. Half of feature maps are obtained by max pooling. These 2 sets of feature maps are concatenated



3

GoogleNet-v3/Inception-v3 architecture



Inception-v3 Architecture (Batch Norm and ReLU are used after Conv)

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

February 18, 2019 37/60

ResNets @ ILSVRC & COCO 2015 Competitions

Ist places in all five main tracks

- ImageNet Classification: 'Ultra-deep' 152-layer nets
- ImageNet Detection: 16% better than 2nd
- ImageNet Localization: 27% better than 2nd
- COCO Detection: 11% better than 2nd
- COCO Segmentation: 12% better than 2nd

4 3 5 4 3 5 5

Roadmap of Network Depth



э

Going deeper

Bear the following in mind:

• Batch normalization. [Sergey loffe, Christian Szegedy. ICML 2015]

Is learning better networks as simple as stacking more layers?

3

Simply stacking more layers



Plain nets: stacking 3x3 conv layers.

• 56-layer net has higher training error and test error than 20-layer net.

< A

Deep Residual Learning

Plain net:



H(x) is any desired mapping. Let these two conv (weight) layers fit H(x).

Deep Residual Learning

Residual net:



H(x) is any desired mapping. Let these two conv (weight) layers fit H(x). Let these two conv (weight) layers fit F(x), where F(x) = H(x) - x.

Deep Residual Learning

Residual net:



F(x) is a residual mapping w.r.t. identity.

- If identity were optimal, easy to set weights as 0
- If optimal mapping is closer to identity, easier to find small fluctuations

Network Structure

Basic design: VGG style

- all 3 × 3 conv
- no FC layer, no dropout

Training details:

- Trained from scratch
- Use batch normalization
- Standard hyper-parameters & augmentation



Figure: Basic residual block.

A B b 4 B b

Network Structure

Detailed ResNet structure (rightmost) for ImageNet 2015 entry: (part1)



Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

February 18, 2019 46/60

Network Structure

Detailed ResNet structure (rightmost) for ImageNet 2015 entry: (part2)



The dotted shortcuts increase channel dimensions.

Xiaogang	Wang	(CUHK
	<u> </u>	

э

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

CIFAR-10 experiments



Deep ResNets can be trained without difficulties. Deeper ResNets have **lower training error**, and also lower test error.

ImageNet experiments



Deep ResNets can be trained without difficulties. Deeper ResNets have **lower training error**, and also lower test error.

Extension and Resource

- Residual Networks Behave Like Ensembles of Relatively Shallow Networks, NIPS 2016.
- Comparison among ResNet, Highway Network, DenseNet. A blog post <u>here</u>. <u>Another one</u>.
- ResNet code: [Model available] [Torch implementation]

3

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Roadmap of Network Structure



Inception-v4 model

 A more uniform simplified architecture and more inception modules than Inception-v3



Inception-v4 network

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Inception-ResNet-v2 model

 A shortcut connection at the left of each module. Inception-ResNet-v2 was training much faster and reached slightly better final accuracy than Inception-v4.



Inception-Resnet v2

Xiaogang Wang (CUHK)

Network Structures

Experiment results

Single model evaluated on ILSVRC CLS 2012 validation set.

Network	Top-1 Error	Top-5 Error
BN-Inception [6]	25.2%	7.8%
Inception-v3 [15]	21.2%	5.6%
Inception-ResNet-v1	21.3%	5.5%
Inception-v4	20.0%	5.0%
Inception-ResNet-v2	19.9%	4.9%

Network	Crops	Top-1 Error	Top-5 Error
ResNet-151 [5]	dense	19.4%	4.5%
Inception-v3 [15]	144	18.9%	4.3%
Inception-ResNet-v1	144	18.8%	4.3%
Inception-v4	144	17.7%	3.8%
Inception-ResNet-v2	144	17.8%	3.7%

э

DenseNet

 ResNet solve the gradient vanishing problem by converting the feature mapping equation with identity addition

$$x_l = H_l(x_{l-1}) \quad \rightarrow \quad x_l = H_l(x_{l-1}) + x_{l-1}$$

 DenseNets do not sum the output feature maps of the layer with the incoming feature maps but concatenate them

$$x_{l} = H_{l}([x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{l-1}])$$

 Every layer has access to its preceding feature maps, and therefore, to the collective knowledge



A B b 4 B b

DenseNet

- DenseNets are divided into Dense Blocks, where the spatial dimensions of the feature maps remains constant within a block, but the number of filters changes between them.
- The feature volume within a dense block remains constant
- There is a transition block follows every dense block, which has 1 × 1 convolution that halves the number of feature maps followed by a 2 × 2 pooling with a stride of 2
- The volume and the feature maps are halved after every transition block



Dense-121. Dx: Dense Block x. Tx: Transition Block x.

DenseNet

Layers	Output Size	DenseNet-121	DenseNet-169	DenseNet-201	DenseNet-264
Convolution	112×112	7×7 conv, stride 2			
Pooling	56×56	3×3 max pool, stride 2			
Dense Block	56×56	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\checkmark 6}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 6}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\vee 6}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\lor 6}$
(1)		$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 0}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 0}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 0}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 0}$
Transition Layer	56×56	1×1 conv			
(1)	28 imes 28	2×2 average pool, stride 2			
Dense Block	28×28	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\sim 12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\sim 12}$
(2)		$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 12} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 12}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 12}$	
Transition Layer	28 imes 28	1×1 conv			
(2)	14×14	2×2 average pool, stride 2			
Dense Block	14×14	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix} \sim 24$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 32}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 48}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix} \times 64$
(3)		$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 24}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 32}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 40}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{\times 04}$
Transition Layer	14×14	1×1 conv			
(3)	7×7	2×2 average pool, stride 2			
Dense Block	7 × 7	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 16}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 22}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 22}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}_{\times 49}$
(4)		$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{10}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{32}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{32}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 3 \text{ conv} \end{bmatrix}^{40}$
Classification	1×1	7×7 global average pool			
Layer		1000D fully-connected, softmax			

Different DenseNet structures

(日)

Reading materials

- A. Krizhevsky, L. Sutskever, and G. E. Hinton, "ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks," Proc. NIPS, 2012.
- M. Ranzato, "Neural Networks," tutorial at CVPR 2013.
- K. Chatfield, K. Simonyan, A. Vadaldi, and A. Zisserman, "Return of the Devil in the Details: Delving Deep into Convolutional Networks," BMVC 2014.
- P. Sermanet, D. Eigen, X. Zhang, M. Mathieu, R. Fergus, and Y. LeCun, "Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks," In Proc. Int'l Conf. Learning Representations, 2014.
- K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman, "Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition," arXiv:1409.1556, 2014.
- M. Lin, Q.. Chen, and S. Yan, "Network in network," arXiv:1312.4400v3, 2013.
- C. Szegedy, W. Liu, Y. Jia, P. Sermanet, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, V. Vanhoucke, and A. Rabinovich, "Going deeper with convolutions," arXiv:1409.4842, 2014.

Reading materials

- Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. K. He, et al. CVPR 2016. Best paper.
 - Highway and Residual Networks learn Unrolled Iterative Estimation, ICLR 2017.
 - Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks. K. He, et al. ECCV 2016. Extension discussion of ResNet.
 - Deep Networks with Stochastic Depth. G. Huang, et al. ECCV 2016
 - Unsupervised Domain Adaptation with Residual Transfer Networks. NIPS 2016.
 - Wide Residual Networks. BMVC 2016.
 - Residual LSTM: Design of a Deep Recurrent Architecture for Distant Speech Recognition. https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.03360.

• • • •

Reading materials

- Inception-v4, Inception-ResNet and the Impact of Residual Connections on Learning. https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07261v2.
 - Rethinking the Inception Architecture for Computer Vision. https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00567v3.
 - Wide-Residual-Inception Networks for Real-time Object Detection. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1702.01243v1.pdf

•••